RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

2010 MAR 15 AM 11: 17

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL



607 Fourteenth Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-2011 PHONE 202.628.6600 MX: 202.434.1690 www.perkinscole.com

Brian G. Svoboda
Ezra W. Reese
mene (202) 628-6600
bsvoboda@serkinssole.com
creese@serkinssole.com

March 12, 2010

Kim Collins
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street NW
Washington, DC 20463

Re: MUR 6251

Dear Ms. Collins:

On behalf of Minnick for Congress and Vern Bisterfeldt as Treasurer¹ ("Respondents"), this letter is submitted in response to the complaint filed by David E. Olson ("the Complaint") and subsequently labeled MUR no. 6251. A Designation of Counsel is attached. The Complaint asserts no facts that give rise to a reason to believe that the Federal Election Campaign Act ("the Act") has been violated. It should be immediately dismissed.

The Commission may find "reason to believe" only if a complaint sets forth sufficient specific facts which, if proven true, would "describe a violation of a statute or regulation over which the Commission has jurisdiction." 11 C.F.R. § 111.4(a), (d) (2010). The Complaint alleges that a mailing sponsored by Congressman Walt Minnick's congressional office is both a contribution to Minnick for Congress, and in violation of the Commission's disclaimer requirements. Based on the Complaint itself and its allegedly supporting documentation, both claims are without merit.

The mail in question was sent out under Congressman Minnick's frank, and all costs were paid from funds appropriated by Congress. See 39 U.S.C. § 3210(f). Because it was a mass mailing, see id. § 3210(a)(6)(E), it was reviewed and approved in advance by the House Commission on Congressional Mailing Standards. See 2 U.S.C. § 59e(f). The mailing would not have been approved had it solicited political support for Congressman Minnick. See 39 U.S.C. § 3210(a)(5)(C).

¹ Mr. Bisterfeldt replaced Susan Eastlake as Treasurer on March 1, 2010.

MUR 6291 March 12, 2010 Page 2

Moreover, by its very nature the mailing cannot be, under the Act, an in-kind contribution to or expenditure by Minnick for Congress. First, it was paid for by the federal government, which is exempt from the definitions of "contribution" and "expenditure." See 2 U.S.C. § 431(8), (9), & (11). Second, it does not meet the content standard for a coordinated communication under 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(c), as it does not contain express advocacy, and it was not distributed 90 days or fewer before Congressman Minnick's election.²

Nor does the mailing have any disclaimer requirements under the Act or Commission regulations. It was not sponsored by a political committee; it does not contain express advocacy; it does not solicit contributions; and it is not an electioneering communication. See 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a).

In short, this mailing is regulated solely by the House Commission on Congressional Mailing Standards, which approved its content. Because the Complaint does not allege any facts that would describe a violation of federal election law, Respondents respectfully request that the Complaint be immediately dismissed pursuant to 11 C.F.R. § 111.4(d).

Very truly yours,

Brian G. Svoboda Ezra W. Reese

² The mailing was received on or before February 2, 2010 – the date of the Complaint; the primary election in Idaho is scheduled for May 25, 2010.

RECLIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

2018 HAR 15 AM 11: 18

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL



PEDERAK, ELIIÇTION GÇMINIĞENÇK 160 IX Okrosil, 1917 Washingiları, DO 20038

STATEMENT OF BUSINESS OF COUNTY, CARLOS OF COUNT
MANN of counts: Brian Svoboda / Ezra Reese Perkins Cois
ASSURED, 607 14th St NW, #800
Washington, DC 20005
TELEPHONE- OFFICE 203 628-6600
MR(202 434-1690
The photo-served individual and/or first is investig designated as my countries and offer research from the Description of the Complete of the
Boise, ID 83701
TELEPHONE-HORE (

Dan. (8) (1)